

ABELIAN VARIETIES DEFINED OVER THEIR FIELDS OF MODULI, I†

J. S. MILNE

Whenever we consider a triple (A, \mathcal{C}, θ) we will mean that A is an abelian variety of dimension d , \mathcal{C} is a polarization of A , $\theta: F \rightarrow \text{End}^0(A) = \text{End}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a ring homomorphism where F is a field of degree $2d$ over \mathbb{Q} , $\theta(F)' = \theta(F)$ where $\alpha \mapsto \alpha'$ is the involution of $\text{End}^0(A)$ induced by \mathcal{C} , and that A , \mathcal{C} , and θ are all defined over some subfield of the complex numbers \mathbb{C} . F is then necessarily a CM-field, and (A, \mathcal{C}, θ) is of type $(F, \Phi; \mathfrak{a}, \zeta)$ in the sense of [5, p. 128] for some lattice \mathfrak{a} in F and element ζ of F . We will assume that the reader is familiar with the definitions in [5].

Our main result is that (A, \mathcal{C}, θ) always has a model defined over its field of moduli k_0 , i.e. that there is an $(A_0, \mathcal{C}_0, \theta_0)$ defined over k_0 which becomes isomorphic to (A, \mathcal{C}, θ) over \mathbb{C} . As a consequence, one gets an alternative proof of a theorem of Casselman's [6, Theorem 6] characterizing those Größen-characters which arise from abelian varieties. Also, one obtains a positive answer to a question of Shimura's concerning the existence of such Größen-characters [6, p. 513].

In a second paper we intend to consider the question of, given (A, \mathcal{C}, θ) , when is the pair (A, \mathcal{C}) defined over its field of moduli.

We write k_{ab} for the maximal abelian extension of a field k , and \bar{k} for its algebraic closure. (F', Φ') denotes the reflex of a CM-type (F, Φ) .

THEOREM. *Let (A, \mathcal{C}, θ) , as above, be of CM-type (F, Φ) . Then there is a model $(A_0, \mathcal{C}_0, \theta_0)$ of (A, \mathcal{C}, θ) defined over the field of moduli k_0 of (A, \mathcal{C}, θ) and such that all torsion points of A_0 are rational over F'_{ab} .*

Proof. Let S be the (ordered) set of points of A of order 3, and let k_1 be the field of moduli of $(A, \mathcal{C}, \theta, S)$.

(i) $F' \subset k_1 \subset F_{ab}$

This follows from [5, 5.16]

(ii) There is a model $(A_1, \mathcal{C}_1, \theta_1, S)$ for $(A, \mathcal{C}, \theta, S)$ defined over k_1 .

It is easy to see that there is a finite normal extension K of k_1 such that $(A, \mathcal{C}, \theta, S)$ is defined over K and such that for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k_1)$ there is an isomorphism $\lambda_\sigma: (A, \mathcal{C}, \theta, S) \rightarrow (A^\sigma, \mathcal{C}^\sigma, \theta^\sigma, S^\sigma)$ defined over K . Let $\lambda_{\tau, \sigma} = \lambda_{\sigma^{-1} \tau}^\sigma$ for $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(K/k_1)$. From the fact that $\text{Aut}(A, \mathcal{C}, \theta, S) = \{1\}$ [3, §21, Thm 5] it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\tau, \sigma}^\rho &= \lambda_{\rho\tau, \rho\sigma} \\ \lambda_{\tau, \sigma} \lambda_{\sigma, \rho} &= \lambda_{\tau, \rho}\end{aligned}$$

Received 8 June, 1972.

†This paper was written while the author was a visitor at King's College, London and the University of Nottingham, and was supported by the Science Research Council.

[BULL. LONDON MATH. SOC., 4 (1972), 370-372]

for all $\rho, \sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(K/k_1)$. Assertion (ii) now follows from [7].

(iii) A_1 , as in (ii) above, has all of its torsion points rational over F'_{ab} . This is [5, 7.8.8].

Regard now (A, \mathcal{C}, θ) as being defined over k_1 and satisfying (iii). If $k_1 = k_0$ then the theorem is proved. If not, there is a field k_2 , $k_1 \supset k_2 \supset k_0 \supset F'$, such that k_1/k_2 is Galois of prime degree p (use (i)). Let σ generate $\text{Gal}(k_1/k_2)$ and let $\lambda: (A, C, \theta) \rightarrow (A^\sigma, C^\sigma, \theta^\sigma)$ be an isomorphism.

(iv) λ is defined over k_1 .

This is a consequence of [6, Thm 5, Pptn 1]. Alternatively it may be proved as follows. $a \mapsto a^\sigma$ is an isomorphism $V_1 A \rightarrow V_1 A^\sigma$ which commutes with the actions of F and of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}_1/k_1)$ (use (iii)). But it is clear from [4, Cor 2 to Thm 5] that any homomorphism $V_1 A \rightarrow V_1 A$ which commutes with the action of F commutes with the action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}_1/k_1)$. Thus $\lambda^\tau = \lambda$ for all $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}_1/k_1)$ which proves (iv).

Write v for the canonical isomorphism $(A^{\sigma^p}, \mathcal{C}^{\sigma^p}, \theta^{\sigma^p}) \rightarrow (A, \mathcal{C}, \theta)$. Then $\Lambda = v\lambda^{\sigma^{p-1}} \dots \lambda^\sigma \lambda$ is an automorphism of (A, \mathcal{C}, θ) , and hence may be written as $\theta(\alpha)$ with $\alpha \in \mu(R)$ where $R = \theta^{-1}(\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A))$ and $\mu(R)$ is the set of roots of unity in R .

(v) α is a p th power in R .

If μ is a homomorphism of abelian varieties we write μ_l for the corresponding map on the Tate groups T_l (or V_l). The map $a \mapsto \lambda_l^{-1}(a^\sigma): T_l A \rightarrow T_l A$ is \mathbb{Z}_l -linear and commutes with the action of $\theta(R)$. By [4, Cor. 1 to Thm. 5] there exists an $\alpha_l \in R_l = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_l$ such that $\lambda_l^{-1}(a^\sigma) = \theta(\alpha_l^{-1})(a)$ all $a \in T_l A$. It follows that $\Lambda_l(a) = \theta(\alpha_l^p)(a)$ all $a \in T_l A$. Hence $\theta(\alpha) = \theta(\alpha_l^p)$, and so α is a p th power in R_l for all primes l . By class field theory, e.g. [1, X], this implies that α is a p th power in F , say $\alpha = \beta^p$. By using that $\alpha \in \mu(R)$ and is a p th power in R_l for all l , one gets that $\beta \in R_l$ for all l . But $R = \bigcap R_l$, and so $\beta \in R$.

Replace λ by $\lambda\theta(\beta^{-1})$, so that now $\Lambda = 1$. Define $\lambda_{j,i}: A^{\sigma^i} \rightarrow A^{\sigma^j}$ by

$$\lambda_{j,i} = \lambda^{\sigma^{j-1}} \dots \lambda^{\sigma^i},$$

$0 \leq i \leq j \leq p-1$, and $\lambda_{j,i} = v^{\sigma^j} \lambda_{j+p,i}$, $0 \leq j \leq i \leq p-1$. Then $\lambda_{k,j} \lambda_{j,i} = \lambda_{k,i}$ and $\lambda_{j,i}^\sigma = \lambda_{j+1,i+1}$ and so [7] there is an $(A_2, \mathcal{C}_2, \theta_2)$ defined over k_2 which is isomorphic to (A, \mathcal{C}, θ) over k_1 . Note that A_2 will therefore also satisfy (iii). If $k_2 = k$ the proof is complete. If not, the above process may be used to find an $(A_3, \mathcal{C}_3, \theta_3)$ over some k_3 , $k_2 \supset k_3 \supset k$, $k_2 \neq k_3$. By continuing in this way, one eventually obtains the desired result.

In order to state the two corollaries, consider (A, \mathcal{C}, θ) defined over some number field k , and let it be of type $(F, \Phi; \alpha, \zeta)$. Regard F as a subfield of \mathbb{C} , write I_k for the idèle group of k , put $I_{k,\infty} = k \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \subset I_k$, and write I_k^∞ for the group of finite idèles of k , i.e. those whose component at any infinite prime is 1. If $x \in I_F$, write x_1 for the component of x corresponding to the infinite prime defined by the given embedding of $F \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then $\det \Phi'$ defines a homomorphism $F'^* \rightarrow F^*$ and, since $k \supset F'$, we

get a homomorphism $g = (\det \Phi') N_{k/F'} : k^* \rightarrow F^*$. This extends to a continuous homomorphism $I_k \rightarrow I_F$ which we also denote by g .

As explained in [6, p. 510], one obtains from (A, \mathcal{E}, θ) a Grössen-character $\psi : I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ such that,

(1) for all $x \in I_{k, \infty}$, $\psi(x) = g(x)_1^{-1}$, and

(2) for all $x \in I_{k, \infty}$, $\psi(x) \in F^*$, $\psi(x) \overline{\psi(x)} = |x|_0$, and $\psi(x) \alpha = g(x) \alpha$, where $\overline{\psi(x)}$ is the complex conjugate of $\psi(x)$ and $|x|_0$ is the absolute norm of the ideal associated to x . Conversely, there is the following result.

COROLLARY 1. *Let k be a finite extension of F' . Any Grössen-character $\psi : I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfying (1) and (2) arises from some (A, \mathcal{E}, θ) of type $(F, \Phi; \alpha, \zeta)$ defined over k .*

Proof. Let (A, \mathcal{E}, θ) be any structure of type $(F, \Phi; \alpha, \zeta)$. It follows from [5, 5.16] that k contains the field of moduli of (A, \mathcal{E}, θ) and so we may take (A, \mathcal{E}, θ) to be defined over k . Let ψ' be the Grössen-character arising from (A, \mathcal{E}, θ) and put $\chi = \psi/\psi'$. By (1), χ is a Dirichlet character and so may be regarded as a character of $G = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ for some finite abelian extension K of k . Let R_χ be R regarded as a G -module by defining $\sigma\alpha = \chi(\sigma)\alpha$ for $\sigma \in G$, $\alpha \in R$. Then, in the notation of [2, §2], $(A', \mathcal{E}', \theta')$ with $A' = R_\chi \otimes_R A$ and obvious θ' and \mathcal{E}' is of type $(F, \Phi; \alpha, \zeta)$ and has Grössen-character $\chi\psi' = \psi$.

COROLLARY 2. *Let k be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} and let $(F, \Phi; \alpha, \zeta)$ be a possible type for a structure (A, \mathcal{E}, θ) . Then there is a Grössen-character $\psi : I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfying (1) and (2) if and only if k contains the field of moduli of some (A, \mathcal{E}, θ) of type $(F, \Phi; \alpha, \zeta)$.*

Proof. The necessity follows from [5, 5.16] and the sufficiency from the theorem.

Remarks 1. In [6], Corollary 1 is proved directly and then, under certain hypotheses on R ((5.2) loc. cit.), Shimura explicitly constructs a Grössen-character ψ satisfying (1) and (2) and so deduces a weaker form of our Theorem 1.

2. Given A and the map θ it is always possible to find a polarization \mathcal{E} such that $\theta(F)' = \theta(F)$ [5, p. 128]. Moreover [6, Pptn 4] the field of moduli of (A, \mathcal{E}, θ) is independent of the \mathcal{E} chosen. Thus it makes sense to speak of the field of moduli of (A, θ) , and then Theorem 1 implies that this is also the smallest field of definition of (A, θ) .

References

1. E. Artin and J. Tate, *Class field theory* (Harvard University, 1961).
2. J. Milne, "On the arithmetic of abelian varieties", *Inventiones math.* (to appear).
3. D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties* (Oxford University Press, London, 1970).
4. J.-P. Serre and J. Tate, "Good reduction of abelian varieties", *Ann. of Math.*, 88 (1968), 492–517.
5. G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions* (Princeton U.P. 1971).
6. ———, "On the zeta-function of an abelian variety with complex multiplication", *Ann. of Math.*, 94 (1971), 504–533.
7. A. Weil, "The field of definition of a variety", *Amer. J. Math.*, 78 (1956), 509–524.

University of Michigan.